

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,055		2/26/2004	Atsushi Watanabe	392.1876	3299	
21171	7590 12/14/2006			EXAMINER		
STAAS & H	IALSEY	LLP	MARC, MCDIEUNEL			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT		•	3661			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/786,055	·	WATANABE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	McDieunel f		3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the d	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DEPLAY WITH THE MAILING DEPLAY WITH THE MAILING DEPLAY WITH THE MAILING DEPLAY WITH THE MAILING THE MAILING DEPLAY WITH THE MAILING THE MAI	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  I, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to  ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			*.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lune 2005			•			
2a)□	•	s action is no	n-final.					
3)	<del>/ -</del>							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	•		,					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)🛛	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-4}}$ is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cons	sideration.					
'-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election red	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ acce	pted or b)☐ objected	I to by the Exami	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required	I if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been its have been prity documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Ę	I) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  ) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-4 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Jyumonji** (U.S. Pat. No. 5,987,591) in view of **Watanabe et al.** (U.S. Pat. No. 5,980,082).

As per claim 1, <u>Jyumonji</u> teaches a robot having a taught position modification device for correcting positions of taught points in an operation program of a robot prepared by an offline

Art Unit: 3661

programming system, using a visual sensor arranged at a movable part of the robot (see figs. 1 and 4), said device comprising:

determining means for determining a position or an orientation of an object of operation based on positions of at least two characteristic points on an image of the object captured by the visual sensor (see fig. 3); correction means for correcting the positions of the taught points in the operation program based on the determined position or orientation of the object (see figs. 5 or 9, particularly element R5);

moving means for moving an operation tool or a position correction tool attached to an distal of an arm of the robot at the corrected positions of the taught points (see figs. 5 or 9, particularly element R5); setting means for setting a jog-feed coordinate system with respect to the corrected positions of the taught points using information from the offline programming system (see figs. 5-8); although Jyumonji teaches jog-feed however watanabe et al. covers the remaining limitations below stronger than Jyumonji.

Watanabe et al. teaches a robot control movement device having a jog control unit in combination with a teaching pendant which being considered as a modification means for modifying the corrected positions of the taught point in the operation program based on positions of a control point of the operation tool or the position correction tool (see fig. 1 element 3 and fig. 2, element 40 or fig. 4), at which positions or orientations of the operation tool or the position correction tool are designated by jog feeds using the jog-feed coordinate system such that the control point takes objective positions for the taught points (see fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jyumonji's robot with Watanabe's et al. robot, because this modification

Art Unit: 3661

would have introduce a stronger jog-feed feature into Jyumonji's robot, thereby improving efficiency and the reliability of the taught position modification device as a whole.

As per claim 2, <u>Watanbe et al.</u> in combination with Jyumonji further comprising display means for displaying an image of a model of the object based on information from the offline programming system, and for indicating coordinate axes of the jog-feed coordinate system in accordance with lines defined by the corrected positions of the taught points in the image of the model (see Watanabe's et al. fig. 3, particularly element 40 and fig. 4).

As per claim 3, <u>Watanbe et al.</u> in combination with Jyumonji teaches a robot wherein said modification means modifies the positions of subsequent taught points in accordance with modification of one of the taught point each time when the position of the one taught points is modified (see Watanabe's et al. fig. 3).

As per claim 4, <u>Watanbe et al.</u> in combination with Jyumonji teaches a robot wherein said moving means moves the operation tool or the position correction tool to the position of the subsequent taught point which is modified in accordance with the modification of the one taught point (see Watanabe's et al. figs. 1-3), on demand of an operator (see Watanabe's et al. fig. 4, bear in mind the pendant being use an operator, wherein "on demand" being taken as input command).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

McDieunel Marc Examiner Art Unit 3661

Monday, November 20, 2006

MM/

THOMAS BLACK EXAMPLES THOMAS PATENT EXAMPLES

Page 5